

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/963,878	09/26/2001	Bruce S. Ellingboe	CV-0290US	9174	
7590 10/06/2003			EXAMINER		
POPOVICH & WILES, P.A.			DEAK, LESLIE R		
IDS Center, Suite 1902 80th South 8th Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Minneapolis, M	IN 55402		. 3762		
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003		
				/	
				ſ	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

R		
\sim		
	\neg	

ć		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/963,878	ELLINGBOE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Leslie R. Deak	3762				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	lears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address				
THE I - Exter - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r - earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed i) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24.						
2a)	•	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-101</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-101 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
•							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
-	ion Papers	,					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.					
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 September 2001</u> is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	cted to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disa	pproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in App	lication No				
* 9	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmer		p., aniae. ee e.e.e. 30	•				
1) 🔀 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>6</u>	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/963,878

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, Species A, claims 1-12 in Paper
 No. 8 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 9-12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,462,416 to Dennehey et al. Dennehey discloses a blood pumping cassette within a blood circuit with a plurality of tubing lines (134, 136) that connect to fluid passageways within the cassette. The cassette is comprised of a rigid portion connected to a flexible portion. (See FIG 9; column 6.) The blood circuit further comprises a control unit with a component interface region and an area that interfaces with the cartridge, and a pump. (See FIG 76; column 20.) The system further comprises blood reservoirs (see column 16, lines 40-45), flow control clamps, air bubble detectors (see column 10, lines 25-35). The cassette further comprises pressure sensors and valve stations that are actuated to regulate fluid flow (see columns 6-7).

With regard to applicant's claim limitations drawn to the functions of the blood circuit, the pump, the reservoir, flow control clamp, sensors in the claims, the claims

Application/Control Number: 09/963,878 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

recite the functions of the devices in narrative form, and such recitations have not been given patentable weight. In order to be given patentable weight, a functional recitation must be expressed as a "means" for performing the specified function, as set forth in 35 USC 112, 6th paragraph, and must be supported by recitation in the claim of sufficient structure to warrant the presence of the functional language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 1-4 and 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,385,540 to Abbott et al in view of US 5,462,416 to Dennehey et al. Abbot discloses a blood circuit with a cassette that serves to control fluid flow in a cardioplegia

Application/Control Number: 09/963,878

Art Unit: 3762

circuit. Use of the cassette serves to simplify the fluid control, preventing the operator from having to manually set up the fluid channels in the complicated circuit. Abbot discloses that the system incorporates an oxygenator 14, pumps in the pump cassette that send fluid from the oxygenator through the rest of the circuit back to the patient, and a cardioplegia circuit that combines blood and cardioplegia solution in the circuit (see columns 3-4). Abbot fails to disclose the tubing lines, valves, and sensors as claimed, but Dennehey, as delineated above, disclosed those claimed limitations.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to add the tubing lines, valves, and sensors, along with the other claimed limitations found in the Dennehey device, to the cassette disclosed by Abbott in order to provide a simplified, controllable cardioplegia fluid circuit, as taught by Abbot.

6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,385,540 to Abbott et al in view of US 5,462,416 to Dennehey et al, in view of US 5,820,579 to Plotkin. Abbot and Dennehey disclose the blood circuit and cassette as claimed including an air detector, but fails to disclose a valve with diverting mechanism. It is well known within the art of blood processing to provide air detectors and divert blood with bubbles in it so as not to introduce air bubbles into the patient, as taught by Plotkin. Plotkin discloses a cardiopulmonary bypass circuit with a pump and an oxygenator and an air detector 36. When the air detector senses a bubble in the line, microprocessor/controller 50 employs valve 38 to divert the blood and air bubble into recycle line 48, which serves as a reservoir, in order to prevent the air bubble from being introduced to the patient. Plotkin discloses that his system is better than

Art Unit: 3762

conventional air trap systems, since the diverting technique diverts a minimum amount of fluid while maintaining adequate blood output to the patient (see column 4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to add a diverting mechanism to the cassette and air sensor of the Dennehey/Abbot device in order to prevent introduction of air to the patient while maintaining adequate blood flow in the system.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - a. US 4,756,705

Beijbom et al

- i. Heart-lung system with cassette
- b. US 5,899,873

Jones et al

ii. Cardioplegia system with cassette

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie R. Deak whose telephone number is 703-305-0200. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00, every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 703-308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0873.

26 September 2003

ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Cingel & Sylin